

Exhibit (C)

**Public Correspondence received as of
April 7, 2020**

Lisa Kingsley

From: Harold Johnson <johnsonhell@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2021 8:08 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Fishing restrictions August 2021

Please let sport fishing continue without any closures ,commerical fishing gets more than there fair share. Fishing licenses keep getting more expensive and any type of closure cost the public motels and restaurants money ,not to mention the strain on everybody caused by Covid 19 crap thank you Harold Johnson Estacada Oregon

Sent from my iPad

Lisa Kingsley

From: Bob Eckert <be1cowboys@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2021 10:12 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Fwd: Help Us Protect Recreational Chinook Fisheries in Oregon's Ocean This Summer

I strongly oppose this latest initiative to alienate The Sportsfisherman Lobby from any real opportunities at the harvest of our Chinook.

You have crawfished already on the measure to eliminate gillnets from our Columbia River, and now opportunity is being taken again just outside our coast in favor of your \$100/lb.

I am a resident of Oregon for 50 years, and our Lobby supports your commission and stimulates our Oregon economy in the millions annually. When will the injustices stop.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: CCA Oregon <info@ccaoregon.org>
Date: Wed, Mar 24, 2021 at 5:03 PM
Subject: Help Us Protect Recreational Chinook Fisheries in Oregon's Ocean This Summer
To: Bob Eckert <winagol@hotmail.com>



Bob

The Pacific Fisheries Management Council (PFMC) is considering two alternatives for ocean salmon fisheries that would close the recreational fishery along most of the Oregon coast to the retention of Chinook during part or all of August. If adopted, the closures will not benefit conservation (in this case Klamath River fall Chinook), but rather increase the share of these fish caught in commercial salmon fisheries.

In fact, under the proposals, the commercial share of impacts to Klamath River fall Chinook would increase from 88% (no recreational closure) to 93% (partial closure) and 98% (full closure). The commercial sector already harvests 86% of all Chinook harvested off the Oregon coast and 62% of the total salmon harvested. We don't think the proposals are fair or in the best interest of Oregon's coastal communities.


CCA [submitted a letter](#) to PFMC opposing the proposals, which are expected to apply in federal waters and in Oregon marine waters (inside three miles).

You can also let the PFMC know you oppose these proposals. Visit the PFMC online comment [portal](#) and submit your comments on Agenda Item D1, "Tentative Adoption of 2021 Management Measures for Analysis." Comments must be submitted by 5PM on April 5, although the sooner the better.

Feel free to use CCA Oregon's letter as a resource for your comments, but feel free to keep your comments simple and to the point in opposition the proposed Oregon recreational ocean Chinook fishery closures. Consider forwarding a copy of your comments to the Oregon Fish and Wildlife Commission at odfw.commission@state.or.us

CCA Oregon will also be providing oral testimony during a [March 24 public hearing](#) on the salmon management proposals for Oregon. Feel free to listen in to the hearing tonight starting at 7:00PM!

Click [here](#) to unsubscribe from this mailing list.



Lisa Kingsley

From: Dan Quanbeck <dan@agglass.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 8:19 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: PFMC Ocean Salmon Fisheries Alternatives

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Categories: Forwarded to Fish

To whom it may concern,

I am a long-time resident of the State of Oregon. I am an outdoorsman, fisherman, and at the same time a good steward of our recreational natural resources. It does not appear that the proposed recreational closures are intended to benefit the conservation of Klamath River fall Chinook through increased escapement, but rather to shift the limited impacts to the commercial sector. While we appreciate the importance of the commercial fishery to coastal communities, the proposals are not equitable and fail to account for the economic impact of closing the recreational fishery during the peak of the summer season. I do not support the adoption of these alternatives now being considered by the Pacific Fisheries Management Council.

Yours truly,

Dan Quanbeck

A/G Glass & Mirror

3125 Portland Rd NE
Salem, OR 97301
Ph: 503.378.0863
Fax: 503.378.0516
dan@agglass.net

Lisa Kingsley

From: matthew.hastings@comcast.net
Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2021 9:33 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Cc: CCA Oregon
Subject: PMFC August salmon ocean proposals

Council Members,

I have become aware that the PMFC is proposing regulations regarding the August Ocean Salmon Season, which will deny access to sport fishers while increasing the take for the commercial fleet. I strongly feel that the sport fishing group has been severely limited by poor returning stocks and that taking away one more opportunity to catch salmon while allowing the commercial fleet to increase their take, is extremely unfair. The Sport fishers fund the lion's share of your total annual budget and we expect better fisheries management than these proposals are suggesting.

Sincerely,
Matt Hastings
CCA member
Oregon Pioneer Fisherman

Lisa Kingsley

From: Emil Georges <eageorges@msn.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2021 10:08 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Proposed recreational ocean closures

To Whom It May Concern

Over the past decade, the commercial sector has averaged over 62% of the total salmon harvested off the Oregon coast and over 86% of the Chinook harvested. Even without the proposals to close the recreational Chinook fishery during part or all of August, the commercial sector would consume 88% of the impacts to Klamath River fall Chinook, this season's limiting stock. The commercial share of the Klamath River fall Chinook impacts would increase to 93% and 98% under the two alternatives for August recreational Chinook retention closures.

It does not appear that the proposed recreational closures are intended to benefit the conservation of Klamath River fall Chinook through increased escapement, but rather to shift the limited impacts to the commercial sector. While we appreciate the importance of the commercial fishery to coastal communities, the proposals are not equitable and fail to account for the economic impact of closing the recreational fishery during the peak of the summer season.

I am very concerned about the precedent these closures would set and urge the Council to maintain the summer recreational Chinook fishery in its 2021 ocean salmon fisheries or shut it down for all parties to allow the salmon a chance to recover.

Sincerely
Emil A Georges
18854 SE Carmel Dr.
Damascus, Oregon 97089

Sent from my iPad

Lisa Kingsley

From: Art Green <Green6883@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 6:12 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Recreational Chinook Salmon Season

It does not appear that the proposed recreational closures are intended to benefit the conservation of Klamath River fall Chinook through increased escapement, but rather to shift the limited impacts to the commercial sector. While we appreciate the importance of the commercial fishery to coastal communities, the proposals are not equitable and fail to account for the economic impact of closing the recreational fishery during the peak of the summer season.

We are very concerned about the precedent these closures would set and urge the Council to maintain the summer recreational Chinook fishery in its 2021 ocean salmon fisheries.

Lisa Kingsley

From: Greg Holen <ias.sound@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2021 5:58 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Sport fishing industry

Your organization needs to be more pro active in protecting the sport fishery in this state and less responsive too protecting the commercial fishing interests. If your plan is for restoration it needs to be met across the board. Not one sided! Thanks Greg Holen C C.A. Oregon member

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Lisa Kingsley

From: John Melvin <jmelvin1952@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2021 8:27 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: Chinook Closure in August

Folks it is getting to the point it is not worth purchasing a fishing license in Oregon. I am 68 years old and have purchased licenses since I was required by state and always happy to do so, but have had it, if this closure happens.

Sent from my iPhone

Lisa Kingsley

From: dennis mcintosh <scot8787@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 24, 2021 6:16 PM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us; dennis mcintosh
Subject: CHINOOK NUMBERS

THE CHINOOK NUMBERS ARE UNFAIR TO THE FISHERMEN AND WOMEN OF OREGON. WE GENERATE ALOT OF MONEY TO THE ECONOMY TO PLAY , HARVEST, AND ENJOY THE FISH.

Lisa Kingsley

From: Paul Jabs <paulj@p-r-c.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 8:59 AM
To: odfw.commission@state.or.us
Subject: fairness

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Categories: Forwarded to Fish

Dear ODFW,

Are you aware of below and is it true?

If this is true, does ODFW have no say in these decisions? Do you advocate at all for the sport fishermen?

Please advise.

Thank you.

Paul

The Pacific Fisheries Management Council (PFMC) is considering two alternatives for ocean salmon fisheries that would close the recreational fishery along most of the Oregon coast to the retention of Chinook during part or all of August. If adopted, the closures will not benefit conservation (in this case Klamath River fall Chinook), but rather increase the share of these fish caught in commercial salmon fisheries.

In fact, under the proposals, the commercial share of impacts to Klamath River fall Chinook would increase from 88% (no recreational closure) to 93% (partial closure) and 98% (full closure). The commercial sector already harvests 86% of all Chinook harvested off the Oregon coast and 62% of the total salmon harvested. We don't think the proposals are fair or in the best interest of Oregon's coastal communities.